

Tweety@Web Inconsistency Measurement - Technical Documentation

Matthias Thimm

Institute for Web Science and Technologies, University of Koblenz-Landau

Version 3.0 (February 3, 2017)

1 Preliminaries

Let At be some fixed propositional signature, i. e., a (possibly infinite) set of propositions, and let $\mathcal{L}(\text{At})$ be the corresponding propositional language constructed using the usual connectives \wedge (and), \vee (or), and \neg (negation). A knowledge base \mathcal{K} is a finite set of formulas $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\text{At})$. Let \mathbb{K} be the set of all knowledge bases. If X is a formula or a set of formulas we write $\text{At}(X)$ to denote the set of propositions appearing in X . Semantics to a propositional language is given by interpretations and an interpretation ω on At is a function $\omega : \text{At} \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$. Let $\Omega(\text{At})$ denote the set of all interpretations for At . An interpretation ω satisfies (or is a model of) a proposition $a \in \text{At}$, denoted by $\omega \models a$, if and only if $\omega(a) = \text{true}$. The satisfaction relation \models is extended to formulas in the usual way.

As an abbreviation we sometimes identify an interpretation ω with its complete conjunction, i. e., if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{At}$ are those propositions that are assigned true by ω and $a_{n+1}, \dots, a_m \in \text{At}$ are those propositions that are assigned false by ω we identify ω by $a_1 \dots a_n \overline{a_{n+1}} \dots \overline{a_m}$ (or any permutation of this). For example, the interpretation ω_1 on $\{a, b, c\}$ with $\omega(a) = \omega(c) = \text{true}$ and $\omega(b) = \text{false}$ is abbreviated by $a\overline{b}c$.

For $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\text{At})$ we also define $\omega \models \Phi$ if and only if $\omega \models \phi$ for every $\phi \in \Phi$. Define furthermore the set of models $\text{Mod}(X) = \{\omega \in \Omega(\text{At}) \mid \omega \models X\}$ for every formula or set of formulas X . If $\text{Mod}(X) = \emptyset$ we also write $X \models \perp$ and say that X is inconsistent.

2 Inconsistency Measures

Let $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ be the set of non-negative real values including ∞ . Inconsistency measures are functions $\mathcal{I} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ that aim at assessing the severity of the inconsistency in a knowledge base \mathcal{K} . The basic idea is that the larger the inconsistency in \mathcal{K} the larger the value $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ if and only if \mathcal{K} is consistent.

In the following, we give the formal definitions of currently available approaches.

Definition 1 ([Hunter and Konieczny, 2008]). The drastic inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_d : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_d(\mathcal{K}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{K} \models \perp \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

A set $M \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ is called minimal inconsistent subset (MI) of \mathcal{K} if $M \models \perp$ and there is no $M' \subset M$ with $M' \models \perp$. Let $\text{MI}(\mathcal{K})$ be the set of all MIs of \mathcal{K} .

Definition 2 ([Hunter and Konieczny, 2008]). The MI-inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\text{MI}} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{MI}}(\mathcal{K}) = |\text{MI}(\mathcal{K})|$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Definition 3 ([Hunter and Konieczny, 2008]). The MI^c -inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\text{MI}^c} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{MI}^c}(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{M \in \text{MI}(\mathcal{K})} \frac{1}{|M|}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

For $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MI}^{(i)}(\mathcal{K}) &= \{M \in \text{MI}(\mathcal{K}) \mid |M| = i\} \\ \text{CN}^{(i)}(\mathcal{K}) &= \{C \subseteq \mathcal{K} \mid |C| = i \wedge C \not\models \perp\} \\ R_i(\mathcal{K}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\text{MI}^{(i)}(\mathcal{K})| + |\text{CN}^{(i)}(\mathcal{K})| = 0 \\ |\text{MI}^{(i)}(\mathcal{K})| / (|\text{MI}^{(i)}(\mathcal{K})| + |\text{CN}^{(i)}(\mathcal{K})|) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{K}|$.

Definition 4 ([Mu et al., 2011]). The D_f -inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{D_f} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{D_f}(\mathcal{K}) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{K}|} (1 - R_i(\mathcal{K})/i)$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Definition 5 ([Grant and Hunter, 2011]). The problematic inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_p : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_p(\mathcal{K}) = \left| \bigcup_{M \in \text{MI}(\mathcal{K})} M \right|$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Let $\text{MC}(\mathcal{K})$ be the set of maximal consistent subsets of \mathcal{K} , i. e.

$$\text{MC}(\mathcal{K}) = \{\mathcal{K}' \subseteq \mathcal{K} \mid \mathcal{K}' \not\models \perp \wedge \forall \mathcal{K}'' \supsetneq \mathcal{K}' : \mathcal{K}'' \models \perp\}$$

Furthermore, let $\text{SC}(\mathcal{K})$ be the set of self-contradictory formulas of \mathcal{K} , i. e.

$$\text{SC}(\mathcal{K}) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{K} \mid \phi \models \perp\}$$

Definition 6 ([Grant and Hunter, 2011]). The MC-inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{mc} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{mc}(\mathcal{K}) = |\text{MC}(\mathcal{K})| + |\text{SC}(\mathcal{K})| - 1$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Definition 7 ([Doder et al., 2010]). The nc -inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{nc} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{nc}(\mathcal{K}) = |\mathcal{K}| - \max\{n \mid \forall \mathcal{K}' \subseteq \mathcal{K} : |\mathcal{K}'| = n \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}' \not\models \perp\}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

A probability function P on $\mathcal{L}(\text{At})$ is a function $P : \Omega(\text{At}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega(\text{At})} P(\omega) = 1$. We extend P to assign a probability to any formula $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(\text{At})$ by defining

$$P(\phi) = \sum_{\omega \models \phi} P(\omega)$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(\text{At})$ be the set of all those probability functions.

Definition 8 ([Knight, 2002]). The η -inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\eta} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\eta}(\mathcal{K}) = 1 - \max\{\xi \mid \exists P \in \mathcal{P}(\text{At}) : \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{K} : P(\alpha) \geq \xi\}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

A subset $H \subseteq \Omega(\text{At})$ is called a hitting set of \mathcal{K} if for every $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$ there is $\omega \in H$ with $\omega \models \phi$.

Definition 9 ([Thimm, 2016]). The hitting-set inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{hs} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{hs}(\mathcal{K}) = \min\{|H| \mid H \text{ is a hitting set of } \mathcal{K}\} - 1$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$ with $\min \emptyset = \infty$.

α	β	$v(\alpha \wedge \beta)$	$v(\alpha \vee \beta)$	α	$v(\neg\alpha)$
T	T	T	T	T	F
T	B	B	T	B	B
T	F	F	T	F	T
B	T	B	T		
B	B	B	B		
B	F	F	B		
F	T	F	T		
F	B	F	B		
F	F	F	F		

Table 1: Truth tables for propositional three-valued logic.

Definition 10 ([Xiao and Ma, 2012]). The mv inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{mv} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{mv}(\mathcal{K}) = \frac{|\bigcup_{M \in \text{MI}(\mathcal{K})} \text{At}(M)|}{|\text{At}(\mathcal{K})|}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

A three-valued interpretation v on At is a function $v : \text{At} \rightarrow \{T, F, B\}$ where the values T and F correspond to the classical true and false, respectively. The additional truth value B stands for both and is meant to represent a conflicting truth value for a proposition. The function v is extended to arbitrary formulas as shown in Table 1. Then, an interpretation v satisfies a formula α , denoted by $v \models^3 \alpha$ if either $v(\alpha) = T$ or $v(\alpha) = B$. Then inconsistency can be measured by seeking an interpretation v that assigns B to a minimal number of propositions.

Definition 11 ([Grant and Hunter, 2011]). The contension inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_c : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_c(\mathcal{K}) = \min\{|v^{-1}(B)| \mid v \models^3 \mathcal{K}\}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

An interpretation distance d is a function $d : \Omega(\text{At}) \times \Omega(\text{At}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies (let $\omega, \omega', \omega'' \in \Omega(\text{At})$)

1. $d(\omega, \omega') = 0$ if and only if $\omega = \omega'$ (reflexivity),
2. $d(\omega, \omega') = d(\omega', \omega)$ (symmetry), and
3. $d(\omega, \omega'') \leq d(\omega, \omega') + d(\omega', \omega'')$ (triangle inequality).

One prominent example of such a distance is the Dalal distance d_d defined via

$$d_d(\omega, \omega') = |\{a \in \text{At} \mid \omega(a) \neq \omega'(a)\}|$$

for all $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega(\text{At})$. If $X \subseteq \Omega(\text{At})$ is a set of interpretations we define $d_d(X, \omega) = \min_{\omega' \in X} d_d(\omega', \omega)$ (if $X = \emptyset$ we define $d_d(X, \omega) = \infty$).

Definition 12 ([Grant and Hunter, 2013]). The Σ -distance inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\text{dalal}}^{\Sigma} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{dalal}}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{K}) = \min \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{K}} d_d(\text{Mod}(\alpha), \omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega(\text{At}) \right\}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Definition 13 ([Grant and Hunter, 2013]). The max-distance inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\text{dalal}}^{\max} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{dalal}}^{\max}(\mathcal{K}) = \min \left\{ \max_{\alpha \in \mathcal{K}} d_d(\text{Mod}(\alpha), \omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega(\text{At}) \right\}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Definition 14 ([Grant and Hunter, 2013]). The hit-distance inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\text{dalal}}^{\text{hit}} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{dalal}}^{\text{hit}}(\mathcal{K}) = \min \{ |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{K} \mid d_{\text{d}}(\text{Mod}(\alpha), \omega) > 0\}| \mid \omega \in \Omega(\text{At}) \}$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

A minimal proof for $\alpha \in \{x, \neg x \mid x \in \text{At}\}$ in \mathcal{K} is a set $\pi \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ such that

1. α appears as a literal in π
2. $\pi \models \alpha$, and
3. π is minimal wrt. set inclusion.

Let $P_m^{\mathcal{K}}(x)$ be the set of all minimal proofs of x in \mathcal{K} .

Definition 15 ([Jabbour and Raddaoui, 2013]). The proof-based inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{P_m} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{P_m}(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{a \in \text{At}} |P_m^{\mathcal{K}}(a)| \cdot |P_m^{\mathcal{K}}(\neg a)|$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

A set of maximal consistent subsets $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{MC}(\mathcal{K})$ is called an MC-cover if

$$\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C = \mathcal{K}$$

An MC-cover \mathcal{C} is normal if no proper subset of \mathcal{C} is an MC-cover. A normal MC-cover is maximal if

$$\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = \left| \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C \right|$$

is maximal for all normal MC-covers.

Definition 16 ([Ammoura et al., 2015]). The MCSC inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\text{mcsc}} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{mcsc}}(\mathcal{K}) = |\mathcal{K}| - \lambda(\mathcal{C})$$

for all $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$ and any maximal MC-cover \mathcal{C} .

A set $\{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$ of pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathcal{K} is called a conditional independent MUS partition of \mathcal{K} , iff each K_i is inconsistent and $\text{MI}(K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_n)$ is the disjoint union of all $\text{MI}(K_i)$.

Definition 17 ([Jabbour et al., 2014]). The CC inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{CC} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{CC}(\mathcal{K}) = \max\{n \mid \{K_1, \dots, K_n\} \text{ is a conditional independent MUS partition of } \mathcal{K}\}$$

for all $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

An ordered set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ with $P_i \subseteq \text{MI}(\mathcal{K})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ is called an ordered CSP-partition of $\text{MI}(\mathcal{K})$ if

1. $\text{MI}(\mathcal{K})$ is the disjoint union of all P_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$
2. each P_i is a conditional independent MUS partition of \mathcal{K} for $i = 1, \dots, n$
3. $|P_i| \geq |P_{i+1}|$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$

Definition 18 ([Jabbour et al., 2015]). The CSP inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{CSP} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{CSP}(\mathcal{K}) = \max\{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{P}) \mid \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{MI}(\mathcal{K})}\}$$

for all $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$ with $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i |P_i|$ and $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing positive sequence with $w_1 = 1$.

In the above definition, we assume $w_i = 1/i$ fixed.

For a formula α let $\|\alpha\|_a$ denote the number of occurrences of the proposition a in α and $\alpha[a \rightarrow \phi]^i$ be the same formula as α where the i th occurrence of the proposition a is replaced by ϕ (if a occurs less times we define $\alpha[a \rightarrow \phi]^i = \alpha$).

Definition 19 ([Besnard, 2016]¹). The forgetting-based inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\text{forget}} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{forget}}(\mathcal{K}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{K} \text{ is consistent} \\ \min_{a \in \text{At}, i=1, \dots, \|(\bigwedge \mathcal{K})\|_a} \{\mathcal{I}_{\text{forget}}((\bigwedge \mathcal{K})[a \rightarrow \top]^i), \mathcal{I}_{\text{forget}}((\bigwedge \mathcal{K})[a \rightarrow \perp]^i)\} + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

A set S of sets is independent if for all $M, M' \in S$, if $M \neq M'$ then $M \cap M' = \emptyset$.

Definition 20 ([Jabbour, 2016]). The independent set-based inconsistency measure $\mathcal{I}_{\text{is}} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{is}}(\mathcal{K}) = \log |\{M \subseteq \text{MI}(\mathcal{K}) \mid M \text{ is independent}\}|$$

for all $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{K}$.

References

- [Ammoura et al., 2015] Ammoura, M., Raddaoui, B., Salhi, Y., and Oukacha, B. (2015). On measuring inconsistency using maximal consistent sets. In Proceedings of the 13th European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty (ECSQARU'15), pages 267–276. Springer.
- [Besnard, 2016] Besnard, P. (2016). Forgetting-based inconsistency measure. In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Scalable Uncertainty Management (SUM'16).
- [Doder et al., 2010] Doder, D., Raskovic, M., Markovic, Z., and Ognjanovic, Z. (2010). Measures of inconsistency and defaults. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, 51:832–845.
- [Grant and Hunter, 2011] Grant, J. and Hunter, A. (2011). Measuring consistency gain and information loss in stepwise inconsistency resolution. In Liu, W., editor, Proceedings of the 11th European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty (ECSQARU 2011), number 6717 in Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, pages 362–373. Springer-Verlag.
- [Grant and Hunter, 2013] Grant, J. and Hunter, A. (2013). Distance-based measures of inconsistency. In Proceedings of the 12th European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty (ECSQARU'13), volume 7958 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 230–241. Springer.
- [Hunter and Konieczny, 2008] Hunter, A. and Konieczny, S. (2008). Measuring inconsistency through minimal inconsistent sets. In Brewka, G. and Lang, J., editors, Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR'2008), pages 358–366, Sydney, Australia. AAAI Press, Menlo Park, California.
- [Jabbour, 2016] Jabbour, S. (2016). On inconsistency measuring and resolving. In Proceedings of the 22nd European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI'16).
- [Jabbour et al., 2014] Jabbour, S., Ma, Y., and Raddaoui, B. (2014). Inconsistency measurement thanks to mus decomposition. In Lomuscio, Scerri, Bazzan, and Huhns, editors, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2014).
- [Jabbour et al., 2015] Jabbour, S., Ma, Y., Raddaoui, B., Sais, L., and Salhi, Y. (2015). On structure-based inconsistency measures and their computations via closed set packing. In Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS'15).
- [Jabbour and Raddaoui, 2013] Jabbour, S. and Raddaoui, B. (2013). Measuring inconsistency through minimal proofs. In Proceedings of the 12th European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty, ECSQARU'13, pages 290–301, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer-Verlag.

¹Note that we give a slightly different but equivalent formalization.

- [Knight, 2002] Knight, K. M. (2002). A Theory of Inconsistency. PhD thesis, University Of Manchester.
- [Mu et al., 2011] Mu, K., Liu, W., Jin, Z., and Bell, D. (2011). A syntax-based approach to measuring the degree of inconsistency for belief bases. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 52(7).
- [Thimm, 2016] Thimm, M. (2016). Stream-based inconsistency measurement. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 68:68–87.
- [Xiao and Ma, 2012] Xiao, G. and Ma, Y. (2012). Inconsistency measurement based on variables in minimal unsatisfiable subsets. In *Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI'12)*.